



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,404	08/11/2006	Jun Kawakubo	96790P552	8228
8791	7590	10/12/2010	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			SCHATZ, CHRISTOPHER T	
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY				
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,404	KAWAKUBO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER SCHATZ	1747	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2010.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,5,6 and 8-11 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,5,6 and 10 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

FINAL REJECTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim should end with a period instead of a comma. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
3. Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nikon in view of Arai, Toshiya, and further in view of Belly et al. (20030214058).

Nikon discloses a device comprising: a loading table (centering device) which is capable of receiving an optical lens with a concave surface thereof facing up; a centering device capable of causing a geometric center of the optical lens to coincide with a center of said loading table; and a moving device which moves the optical lens to a block position (see section 0002-0008 of attached machine translation). Although it is not clear if Nikon discloses a lens holding tool, the purpose of the centering device is to center a lens to be attached to a holding tool for the purpose of machining a non-attached surface of said lens, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art modify Nikon with a holding tool which is capable of having a lens

bonded thereto. Such a tool in conjunction with a centering device is known in the art as disclosed by Arai (figure 13, discussion of figure 13, column 10, lines 7-59). Nikon further discloses that said centering device comprises a clamp base 11 which surrounds said loading table, a rotary base which is rotatably built into said clamp base, a driving device which pivots said rotary base, a plurality of stationary shafts 23 which project on said clamp base, a plurality of clamp members 21 which are pivotally supported by said stationary shafts, respectively, a plurality of moving shafts 25 which project on said rotary base and extend through respective elongated holes 21a in said clamp members and pivot said clamp members respectively toward said loading table during centering of the optical lens (see figures 4 and 5 and above cited text).

It is not clear Nikon discloses a loading table swingably supported by support means and a moving device capable of moving a table into a block position. Arai discloses a loading table swingably supported by support means and a moving device capable of moving a table into a block position (figure 13, column 10, lines 24-40 and above cited text). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Nikon such that the table is swingably supported by support means and said table can be moved by a moving device upward towards the holding tool as taught by Arai. Such a modification enables the apparatus to provide better control during the attaching of a lens to a holding tool.

It is not clear if Nikon discloses a dripping device for dripping a bonding agent on a concave surface of an optical lens. However, Toshiya discloses an apparatus capable of attaching a lens to a holding tool, said apparatus further comprising a dripping device 40, 40a for dripping a bonding agent onto a surface (figures 1 and 3; section 0046 of attached machine translation).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Nikon as modified by Arai such that said apparatus further comprises a dripping device as taught by Toshiya as doing such enables the apparatus to better adhere a lens to a holding tool.

It is not clear if Nikon, Arai and Toshiya disclose positioning pins as claimed by the applicant. Belly discloses positioning pins (pegs section 0129) with a locking portion 33. The pegs enable the apparatus to properly center lenses of varying thicknesses and curvatures. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Nikon such that said apparatus comprises pins as part of the centering device in order to achieve the advantages discussed above. In the apparatus of Nikon as modified by Belly, the pins are capable of moving in the radial and circumferential direction.

As to claim 5, Toshiya discloses a gap setting device capable of moving a lens holding tool and an optical lens relatively toward each other to set a predetermined gap such a bonding agent is spread (section 0023 – process of measuring a height position). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Nikon as modified by Arai such that said apparatus comprises a gap setting device as taught by Toshiya as doing such enables the apparatus to form a better bond between the holding tool and a lens.

As to claim 6, Toshiya discloses an apparatus capable of calculating a dripping amount of bonding agent to be dripped by said dripping device onto the optical lens, said amount calculated from at least one of a thickness of a peripheral edge portion of the bonding agent after spreading, a diameter of said lens holding tool, a radius of curvature of a blocking surface, a diameter of the

optical lens, a radius of curvature of the concave surface, and a gap between said lens holding tool and the optical lens (sections 56 and 77-82).

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nikon, Arai, Toshiya and Belly as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tsujino et al. (US 5362428).

It is not clear if Toshiya discloses a dripping device that comprises a gear pump and drive for driving said gear pump intermittently. Tsujino discloses an apparatus for applying a resin to a curved glass comprising a gear pump for controlling the amount of resin wherein said gear pump is capable of being driven intermittently (column 8, lines 35-56 and column 9, lines 34-61). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus of Nikon, Arai, Toshiya and Belly such that the dripping device comprises a gear pump as taught by Tsujino as doing such enables the apparatus to control the amount of bonding agent dispensed in a highly effective manner (see above cited text of Tsujino).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is allowed. The prior art does not disclose device capable of calculating a gap or an amount of adhesive using the equations claimed by the applicant.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 05/24/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Nikon does not disclose pins. The examiner never asserted that Nikon discloses pins and it is not necessary that Nikon disclose pins as Belly discloses such as discussed above. The modified apparatus of Nikon as modified by Belly discloses pins that can perform all of the functional language required by applicant's claims. Applicant is reminded that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually and in a vacuum of each other as a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 is a consideration relating to the combined teachings of the references (and not each reference in a vacuum of the others).

The arguments under the heading "Difference B" in the Remarks are not commensurate with the scope of the applicant's claims. The claims do not require an oblong hole, nor do the claims recite any positive limitations that require structure of the instantly claimed device to be smaller than the device of Nikon as modified above. As to Arai, figure 13 shows that table 145 is swingably supported on the shaft. Also see column 10, lines 24-40. The applicant argues that pegs 31a, 31b and 31c of Belly are not intended to support the peripheral surface of an optical lens movably upward. It is noted that the examiner is using the pins 33 of Belly to reject the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER SCHATZ whose telephone number is (571)272-6038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CHRISTOPHER SCHATZ/
Examiner, Art Unit 1747